

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR & MAIDENHEAD SCHOOLS FORUM

DATE:	16th October 2012	AGENDA ITEM:	07
TITLE:	CONSULTATION ON THE IMPACT OF DEPARTMENT FOR EDUCATION PROPOSALS ON SCHOOLS FUNDING REFORM 2013/14: OUTCOMES REPORT		
Responsible officer:	Angela Wellings, Interim Director of Children's Services		
Author:	Edmund Bradley – Finance Partner (Children & Schools) Dominic Asater (Consultant)	Tel: 01628 796904 E-mail	Edmund.bradley@rbwm.gov.uk

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In September 2012 the Royal Borough issued a consultation seeking the views of RBWM Primary and Secondary schools and other interested parties on the options for implementing the reforms to schools funding arrangements required by the Department for Education from 1ST April 2013. A copy of the consultation can be found at http://www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/ed_funding.htm. This report provides an analysis of the responses to that consultation and should be read in conjunction with the original consultation paper.

Recommended action for Schools Forum

- 1.2 The report seeks Schools Forum agreement to the recommendations set out throughout the paper and highlighted in the grey shaded boxes. It also seeks Schools Forum view on a number of outstanding consultation proposals.

- 1.3 This report only deals with changes to Primary and Secondary mainstream and Academy formula. The consultation also dealt with various issues around ongoing centrally retained School Block budgets and High Needs block budgets (Special School, Resourced Units, PRUs etc) these issues are not included in this report. They will be the subject of a further report to Schools Forum later in the year.

- 1.4 This report also only deals with existing levels of DSG funding delegated to schools, along with existing levels of centrally budgeted funding that will be delegated as part of this exercise. At the time of writing a number of factors that will influence the final 2013/14 Schools Block budget remain unknown, these include:

- The October 2012 Pupil Census
- The actual level of the Guaranteed Unit of Funding for the Schools Block
- Any DSG Surplus that will be carried forward from the 2012/13 Financial year.

The final position on each of the above will influence the level of funding that can be delegated to schools and therefore the final unit rates that will be used for each of the new DfE Allowable formula factors. If there is additional funding then Schools Forum might decide to target it through the new DfE formula to deal with any specific issues of turbulence or that arise from the operation of the new formula.

- 1.5 The report sets out:

- an overview of the issues raised in the consultation
- the recommendations arising from the consultation
- an analysis of the responses given by schools to each of the 26 questions posed in the consultation document.

- Proposals for changes to the primary, secondary and academy schools formula including the move to an October Pupil count.
- Proposals to topslicing gaining schools budgets to fund the Minimum Funding Guarantee – a further option (not included in the consultation) is presented to Schools Forum for consideration
- Proposals to fund Pupil Number Growth - because the consultation proposal was not clear enough and consultation responses were not conclusive.
- A revised proposal for the implementation of a Reception Uplift factor
- Proposals for additional delegation of centrally held budgets in line with DfE requirements
- Proposals for the further delegation of funding for SEN in line with the DfE's strong recommendation of £6,000
- Proposals for the de-delegation of Schools' budget where there is evidence of the minimisation of risk or the achievement of economies of scale.

1.6 The consultation ran from 7th to 28th September 2012. In conjunction with the consultation the Borough ran a briefing session on the 19th September at SportsAble on the Braywick Road. 119 Headteachers, Governors and Bursars attended this meeting representing 54 schools.

1.7 In total the Borough received 41 responses from 41 separate maintained schools and academies representing 70% of the Borough's schools. No responses were received from any of the Early Years sectors. The overall response to the consultation is shown in more detail below:

<i>School Type</i>	<i>No of responding Schools</i>	<i>%age of schools responding</i>	<i>%age of pupils represented by responses</i>
Nursery	0	0%	0%
Primary	33	73%	76%
Middle	2	50%	39%
Upper	0	0%	0%
Secondary	6	86%	79%
Special	0	0%	0%
TOTAL	41	70%	68%

A full list of schools that responded can be found at **Annex A**.

1.8 The timetable running up to the publication of schools budgets for 2013/14 is shown below:

16 th October 2012	Schools Forum Meeting
17 th October to 31 st October	Completion of EFA Proforma and approval by DMT and Lead Member for Children's Services
31 st October 2012	Education Funding Agency Proforma Return
28 November 2012	School Census Database Closed
December 2012	EFA confirms DSG allocations for 2013/14
18 th January 2013	Final Date for submission of changes to the EFA proforma
January – March 2013	Local Authorities work up Individual Schools Budgets / EFA confirms Academy budget
15 th March 2013	Final Date for issue of Schools Budgets

2 OVERVIEW OF OUTCOMES

2.1 The main results and comments from the consultation are set out below.

- *In all but two of the questions the responses received from schools provided a clear majority decision.*
- *Pupil Number Growth – Whilst schools were clear that they wished to topslice the ISB to fund Pupil Number growth there was a 50% / 50% split on the methodology This report provides further detail and a proposal for Schools Forum consideration*
- *The consultation question about de-delegation of funding to provide services where there is clear evidence of the achievement of economies of scale or the minimisation of risk was not well worded. Further consultation has been done since the closing date to clarify the outcomes of this question*
- *The consultation question about the operation of a topslice of gaining schools budgets omitted a second option. This paper includes details of both options for Schools Forum members to consider.*

3 ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATION QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

3.1 The following paragraphs provide detail on the questions asked as part of the consultation and the responses received from schools. This section should be read in conjunction with the Consultation document.

4 Question 1: Funding Control Totals

Funding Control Totals – refer to paragraph 6 and the table at paragraph 6.1 of the Consultation Document

Question 1

It is not proposed to move funding between the various factors as part of this consultation. The Local Authority now seeks your comments on the relative amounts of funding between each of the formula factors and how it might change in the future (beyond April 2013).

- 4.1 This question only sought written responses from schools. Responses that were received generally fell into three categories:
- Schools which agreed with the proposed allocation of funds between different formula factors;
 - Schools which didn't agree and made minor suggestions for change;
 - Primary schools which thought there should be a significant shift of funding from Key Stage 3 and 4 Basic Per Pupil Entitlement (BPPE) into Primary BPPE;
- 4.2 The responses to this question may influence future decisions of the Schools Forum about how funding should be allocated between formula factors subject to the final DSG settlement for 2013/14, the DSG outturn for 2012/13 and the October Pupil Census and these settlements in future years.

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 1: Funding Control Totals

The allocation of funding to the new DfE prescribed factors is determined by the majority responses to the remaining questions in this consultation.

If additional funding is available as part of the 2013/14 DSG settlement and / or the 2012/13 DSG Outturn the Schools Forum will give consideration to addressing some of the concerns raised by schools as part of this consultation process.

5 Basic Per Pupil Entitlement (BPPE) – No Consultation Question asked

- 5.1 The consultation outlined how the new Basic Per Pupil Entitlement had been created by transferring funding from the 2012/13 Age Weighted Pupil Unit. It identified initial BPPE rates of funding for Primary and Key Stages 3 and 4. The level of DfE prescription around the implementation of the BPPE meant that the consultation did not ask any specific questions of schools about the calculation of the new rates.
- 5.2 The new BPPE rates were shown in the consultation using the January 2012 pupil count. For the final EFA proforma to be submitted in October, the LA now needs to convert these rates to show October 2011 pupil information. This will bring the formula into line with the new pupil count arrangements for 2013/14 when the DSG will be calculated using October 2012 pupil information. The calculation of the new BPPE rates is as follows:

Phase	AWPU Funding 2012/03	October 2011 Pupil Count	Resulting BPPE
Primary	£22,402,344	9,793	£2,287.59
KS3	£13,547,209	4,577	£2,959.84
KS4	£11,728,399	3,169	£3,700.98

- 5.3 These rates will increase as further funding is added to the BPPE for:
- Other factors that cannot be included anywhere else in the new DfE formula
 - Mainstreaming of Standards Fund Grants
 - Additional delegation of funding from Central Schools Budgets.
- 5.4 In addition these rate may change as a result of decisions yet to be taken by the schools Forum relating to the allocation of the DSG settlement for 2013/14 and any surplus arising from the 2012/13 DSG outturn position.

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Age Weighted Pupil Unit Funding to Basic Per Pupil Entitlement

That funding previously allocated through the Age Weighted Pupil Unit should now be allocated to schools as Basic Per Pupil Entitlement at the following rates (before the addition of further funding outlined in para 5.3)

Phase	Resulting BPPE
Primary	£2,287.59
KS3	£2,959.84
KS4	£3,700.98

6 Question 2: Other Formula Factors Transferring into the Basis Per Pupil Entitlement

Other Factors transferring into Basic Per Pupil Entitlement – refer to Appendix 6 and paragraph 8 of the Consultation Document.

Question 2

Do you agree that the funding for the following RBWM formula factors should transfer into the Basic per Pupil Entitlement?

Original Funding factors	Primary	KS3	KS4	TOTAL
Mobility	42,348			42,348
Kitchen Allocations	121,046	52,342	31,234	204,622
R&M	315,177	287,649	175,907	778,732
Insurance	240,294	193,559	116,977	550,830
Unweighted Pupil Led	194,531	90,577	311,300	596,408
Threshold	712,990	1,013,351	628,274	2,354,614
Personalised Learning (AWPU)	192,602	325,364	0	517,966
Practical Learning			217,493	217,493
MFG	73,481	10,725	7,459	91,665
P16 double funding			-456,827	-456,827
Nursery class deduction	-43,310			-43,310
Total funding transferred to BPPE	1,849,158	1,973,566	1,031,817	4,854,541
Pupil numbers	9,860	4,591	3,196	
Increase in BPPE	£188	£430	£323	

6.1 The following table summarises the responses received to this question:

Question 2 - Other Factors into BPPE	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	36	90%	91%
Disagree:	4	10%	9%
	40	100%	100%

6.2 Written responses to this question highlighted 3 areas of concern:

- The transfer of Threshold funding into the BPPE would disproportionately affect certain schools.
- The impact of a single primary BPPE on Infant and First Schools and the possibility of moving funding from KS3 / 4 to Primary
- Whether there should be more protection from BPPE towards Small Schools.

6.3 Rebasing these rates to October 2011 pupils has the following effect:

	Primary	KS3	KS4	Total
Total funding transferred to BPPE	£1,849,158	£1,973,566	£1,031,817	£4,854,541
Pupil numbers	9,793	4,577	3,196	
Increase in BPPE	£188.82	£431.19	£325.60	

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 2: Other Factors Transferring into Basic Per Pupil Entitlement

That the Factors outlined in Consultation Question 2 should now be transferred into the Basic Per Pupil Entitlement by increasing the BPPE rates by the following amounts.

Phase	Increase in BPPE
Primary	£188.82
KS3	£431.19
KS4	£325.60

7 Question 3: Pupil Mobility

Pupil Mobility Funding – refer to Appendix 7 and paragraph 9 of the consultation document

Question 3

Do you agree that the funding for Pupil Mobility (total £42,348) should be allocated through the Basic per Pupil Entitlement rather than using the DfE Pupil Mobility data?

7.1 The following table summarises the responses received to this question:

Question 3 - Pupil Mobility Funding	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	34	87%	93%
Disagree:	5	13%	7%
	39	100%	100%

7.2 Of the 6 schools that currently receive this funding, 3 responded. 2 voted No to using BPPE and one voted Yes. Written responses to this question were mostly received from the schools that will lose funding if it is passed into the BPPE. Particular comments were:

- All schools experience mobility issues;
- The high cost of staffing (Admin) and resources to deal with non-routine admissions
- The impact of pupils moving from the 3 tier system to the two tier system i.e. from First schools to Primary schools. In years 3 and 4

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 3: Pupil Mobility

That the funding for Pupil Mobility be included within the Basic Per Pupil Entitlement as modelled in paragraph 6 of this report

8 Questions 4 and 5: Pupil Number Growth (See Section 18 of this report)

9 Questions 6 and 7: Deprivation funding using Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI)

Deprivation funding using IDACI – refer to Appendix 9 and 10 and paragraph 12 of the consultation document

Question 6

Do you agree that funding from the following RBWM formula factors should now be allocated to schools for Deprivation using the Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) prescribed by the DfE?

Original Funding Factor	PRIMARY IDACI	SECONDARY IDACI
Deprivation - Acorn 4 & 5	371,971	347,569
Personalised Learning IMD	96,301	162,682
TOTAL	468,272	510,251

Question 7

Do you think that funding for Deprivation (using IDACI) should be allocated to schools as:

- a) a flat rate for each deprived pupil (ref. Appendix 9) (Schools Forum Preferred Option) or;
- b) or an increasing amount as levels of assessed deprivation increase (ref. Appendix 10)

9.1 The following table summarises the responses received to each question:

Question 6 - IDACI	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	32	82%	86%
Disagree:	7	18%	14%
	39	100%	100%
Question 7 - IDACI Flat rate / Weighted			
Option A – Flat Rate	33	85%	89%
Option B - Weighted	6	15%	11%
	39	100%	100%

9.2 The highest number of comments related to the fact that the post code based IDACI proxy indicator of deprivation does not adequately reflect pockets of deprivation.

9.3 Rebasing these rates using October 2011 pupil numbers has the following effect:

Phase	October Pupil Count x DfE dataset of deprived pupils (Bands 1-6)	Funding	Flat Rate IDACI Allocation per deprived pupil
Primary	2,248	£468,272	£208.30
Secondary	2,109	£510,251	£241.96

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 6 and 7: Deprivation Funding - IDACI

That funding for deprivation, previously allocated to schools using Acorn 4 & 5 and Indices of Multiple Deprivation, should in future be allocated using the Income Deprivation Affecting Children's Index.

That funding should be allocated using a Flat Rate per pupil to reflect all levels of deprivation rather than an increasing rate as levels of deprivation increase.

That the appropriate rates should be set as follows:

Phase	Flat Rate Idaci Allocation per Deprived Pupil
Primary	£208.30
Secondary	£241.96

10 Question 8 and 9: Free School Meals / Free School Meals Ever 6

Deprivation funding using FSM / FSM Ever 6 – refer to Appendix 11 and paragraph 13 of the consultation document

Question 8

Do you agree that funding from the following RBWM formula factors should now be allocated to schools for Deprivation based on a count of Free School Meals as prescribed by the DfE?

Original Funding Factor	PRIMARY FSM	SECONDARY FSM
FSM	335,369	265,696

Question 9

Should funding based on a count of Free School Meal data be allocated using

- A count of Free School Meals Ever 6 (School Forum Preferred Option)
- A count of Free School Meals

10.1 The following table summarises the responses received to each question:

Question 8 - Free School Meals	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	40	100%	100%
Disagree:	0	0%	0%
	40	100%	100%
Question 9 - FSM / FSM Ever 6			
Option A – FSM	28	70%	68.9%
Option B – FSM Ever 6	12	30%	31.1%
	40	100%	100%

10.2 The most frequent comment highlighted the fact that the use of FSM ever 6 disadvantages Infant schools.

10.3 Rebasing these rates using October 2011 pupil numbers has the following effect

Phase	October Pupil Count x DfE dataset of FSM Ever 6 Pupils	Funding	FSM Ever 6 Rate
Primary	1,269	£335,369	£264.24
Secondary	1,124	£265,696	£236.48

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 8 and 9: Deprivation Funding – Free School Meals

That funding for deprivation, previously allocated to schools using Free School Meals should in future be allocated using the Free School Meals Ever 6 count at the following rates:

Phase	Resulting FSM Ever 6 rates
Primary	£264.24
Secondary	£236.48

11 Question 10 and 11: Special Educational Need and Low Prior Attainment

SEN – using Low Prior Attainment at 73/78 on the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and Underachievement at KS2 (below L4 in Eng and Maths) – refer to Appendix 12 and paragraph 14 of the consultation document

Question 10

Do you agree that funding from the following RBWM formula factors should now be allocated to schools for SEN based on DfE data for Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and Key Stage 2 Underachievement in both English and Mathematics?

Original Funding Factors	Primary	Secondary
Educational Need (LPA Funding)	900,950	1,596,332
Educational Need IMD	834,646	0
Personalised Learning (LPA Funding)	48,151	81,341
Personalised Learning (G&T Funding)	48,151	81,341
Specialist Inclusion Service	85,254	0
Total Funding Transferred to SEN Attainment	1,917,152	1,759,014

Question 11 (Primary Schools Only)

Should funding for Primary SEN be allocated using;

- EYFSP below 78 points
- EYFSP below 73 points

11.1 The following table summarises the responses received to each question:

Question 10 - SEN	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	35	88%	84%
Disagree:	5	12%	16%
	40	100%	100%
Question 11 - EYFSP <78 / <73 (Primary Only)			
Option A – EYFSP < 78	24	77%	76%
Option B – EYFSP < 73	7	23%	24%
	31	100%	100%

11.2 The most frequent comments highlighted the concerns that schools with Primary aged pupils have about the use of the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile as a measure of SEN. In particular there were concerns about the perverse incentive effect (of funding schools for promoting underachievement) and also the fact that EYFSP as a measure will not be around for much longer.

11.3 Rebasing these rates using October 2011 pupil numbers has the following effect

Phase	October Pupil Count x DfE dataset of EYFSP / KS2 Sats	Funding	SEN rate per pupil on EYFSP<78 points / KS2 underachieve L4 Eng Maths
Primary	1,515	£1,917,152	£1,265.80
Secondary	718	£1,759,014	£2,449.14

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 10 and 11: Funding for SEN

That funding for SEN, previously allocated to schools using Educational Need (LPA) and personalised Learning factors should in future be allocated to schools as proposed by the DfE and as follows:

Primary - using Early Years Foundation Stage Profile scores of pupils achieving less than 78 points,

Secondary – a count of pupils who achieved less than level 4 in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2

That the appropriate rates be set as follows:

Phase	Resulting SEN rates
Primary	£1,265.80
Secondary	£2,449.14

12 Lump Sum

Lump Sum – refer to Appendix 13 and section 15 of the consultation document

Question 12

Do you agree that funding from the following RBWM formula factors should now be allocated to schools for through the Lump Sum factor?

Original Funding Factors	TOTAL
Curriculum Protection	1,033,609
R&M Lump Sum	285,752
Lump Sum	2,880,768
Total Funding Transferred to Lump Sum	4,200,129
TOTAL SCHOOL NUMBERS	58
LUMP SUM (PRE MAINSTREAMED GRANTS)	72,416

Question 13

What level of Lump Sum would you like to see in the RBWM formula?;

- £116,075 (£72,416 above plus £43,659 from former mainstreamed grants)
- £150,000 – funded by a BPPE reduction shown in the table at paragraph 15.6 of the consultation document
- £200,000 – funded by a BPPE reduction shown in the table at paragraph 15.6 of the consultation document.

12.1 The following table summarises the responses received to each question:

Question 12 - Lump Sum	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	36	90%	89%
Disagree:	4	10%	11%
	40	100%	100%
Question 13 - Lump Sum Amount			
Option A - £116,075	27	68%	67%
Option B - £150,000 (funded by BPPE reduction)	4	10%	14%
Option C - £200,000 (funded by BPPE reduction)	9	2%	19%
	40	100%	100%

12.2 Written responses to the questions made the following points:

- The movement of Curriculum protection funding into the Lump Sum was a particular point of concern for those schools previously in receipt of this funding. It was noted that this seriously impacted on the budget of smaller schools and that the resulting Lump Sum was not large enough to provide adequate compensatory protection.
- Smaller schools clearly preferred the higher Lump Sum options B and C.

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 12 and 13: Lump Sum

That funding from the existing Lump Sum factors and the Curriculum Protection factor should now be transferred to the new DfE Lump Sum Factor at a rate of £72,416 per school.

That funding from the Mainstreamed Standards Fund Grants be included in the new DfE Lump Sum Factors at a rate of £43,659 per school

That the total Lump Sum for 2013/14 be initially set at £116,075 per school.

13 Question 14: Mainstreaming of Standards Fund Grants

Mainstreaming Standards Fund Grants to the DfE Formula – refer to Appendix 14 A and 14 B and section 16 of the consultation document

Question 14
Do you agree with the proposals for mainstreaming Standards Fund Grants to the new DfE Formula as set out in section 16 of the consultation?

13.1 In 2012/13 £11.070m of old Standards Fund grants was allocated to schools. The following table identifies how the consultation proposed allocating this funding based on January 2012 pupil numbers and what these rates will be when rebased to October 2011 pupil numbers:

	Jan 12 Pupil No Rates as per consultation	Rebased to Oct 11 pupil numbers
BPPE - Primary	£291.67	£293.67
BPPE - Secondary	£470.37	£472.86
Deprivation FSM Ever 6 - Primary	£122.75	£123.38
Deprivation FSM Ever 6 - Secondary	£99.23	£99.84
SEN - Primary	£309.17	£311.75
SEN - Secondary	£1,096.92	£1,102.40
Lump Sum	£43,659	£43,659
EAL - Primary	£317.31	£321.08
EAL - Secondary	£963.69	£974.73

13.2 The following table summarises the responses received to this question:

Question 14 - Mainstreamed Grants	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	33	87%	87%
Disagree:	5	13%	13%
	38	100%	100%

13.3 Written responses to this question raised the following concerns:

- The impact of the loss of specialist school status funding
- The impact of the loss of Advanced Skills Teacher Funding
- The proposals unfairly advantage KS3 / 4 pupil funding

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 14: Mainstreamed Standards Fund Grants

That funding from the existing Standards Fund Grant allocations should now be transferred to the new DfE prescribed formula factors at the following rates:

	Rebased to Oct 11 pupil no's
BPPE - Primary	£293.67
BPPE - Secondary	£472.86
Deprivation FSM Ever 6 - Primary	£123.38
Deprivation FSM Ever 6 - Secondary	£99.84
SEN - Primary	£311.75
SEN - Secondary	£1,102.40
Lump Sum	£43,659
EAL - Primary	£321.08
EAL - Secondary	£974.73

14 Questions 15, 16, 17: Rent, Safeguarded Salaries and Joint Use

Rent, Safeguarded Salaries and Joint Use Arrangements – refer to Appendix 15 and paragraph 18 of the consultation document

Question 15

Do you agree to delegate funding for rent to Primary schools through the Basic per Pupil entitlement?

Question 16

Do you agree to delegate funding for Safeguarded Salaries through the Primary Basic per Pupil Entitlement? (There will be a further consultation question in Part 3 about de-delegation of these funds)

Question 17

Do you agree to delegate funding for Joint Use Agreements by uplifting the Key Stage 4 Basic per Pupil Entitlement?

14.1 The following table summarises the responses received to these questions:

Question 15 - Rent to BPPE	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	29	91%	91%
Disagree:	3	9%	9%
	32	100%	100%
Question 16 - Safeguarded Salaries to BPPE			
Agree:	29	97%	94%
Disagree:	1	3%	6%
	30	100%	100%
Question 17 - Joint Use to BPPE (Secondary Only)			
Agree:	5	83%	83%
Disagree:	1	17%	17%
	6	100%	100%

- Rent – of the 9 schools currently in receipt of this funding 5 responded, 4 agreed to delegation through the BPPE.
- Joint Use - 3 schools are in receipt of this funding, 2 replied, 1 voted for and one against delegation of this funding through BPPE.
- Very few written comments were received about these proposals.

14.2 Rebasng the rates factors using October 2011 pupil numbers has the following effect

Factor	Total Allocation	Oct 11 Pupil Numbers	Oct 11 Rate
Primary Rent to Primary BPPE	£36,905	9,793	£3.77
Primary Safeguarding to Primary BPPE	£38,025	9,793	£3.88
Secondary Joint Use to KS4 BPPE	£95,786	3,169	£30.23

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 15, 16 and 17: Rent, Safeguarded Salaries and Joint Use

That funding from the existing Rent, Safeguarded Salaries and Joint Use factors should now be transferred to the Basic Per Pupil Entitlement at the following rates:

Factor	Oct 11 Rate
Primary Rent to Primary BPPE	£3.77
Primary Safeguarding to Primary BPPE	£3.88
Secondary Joint Use to KS4 BPPE	£30.23

15 Question 18 and 19: Looked After Children

Looked After Children – refer to paragraph 19 of the consultation document

Question 18

Do you think that the RBWM schools formula should contain a factor that allocates funding based upon a count of Looked After Children in addition to the Pupil Premium?

Question 19

If the majority of schools agree to include a factor to fund Looked After Children, should this be set at £500 on top of the Pupil Premium and funded by a reduction of £1 from each phase's Basic per Pupil Entitlement?

15.1 The following table summarises the responses received to these questions:

Question 18 - LAC	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	17	41%	36%
Disagree:	24	59%	64%
	41	100%	100%
Question 19 - £ per LAC			
Agree:	22	58%	55%
Disagree:	16	42%	45%
	38	100%	100%

15.2 Written responses to this question highlighted the vulnerability of these particular pupils and the existing funding in the Pupil Premium.

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 18 and 19: Looked After Children Funding

That RBWM does not include a factor to fund schools for a count of Looked After Children

16 OVERALL IMPACT OF PROPOSED CHANGES

- 16.1 The following paragraphs analyse the overall impact of the changes proposed by the DfE. In presenting a final model to Schools Forum, officers have had to move from a January 2012 pupil count and rebase schools budgets based upon the October 2011 pupil count information provided by the Department for Education. The new rates have been included throughout this report.
- 16.2 The move to October 2011 Pupil Count change will have a specific impact on Primary schools budgets as there is an increase of 67 pupils between October 2011 and January 2012. It will mean that the overall decrease for the purposes of calculating the Minimum Funding Guarantee will look larger in the final analysis.
- 16.3 School Forum Members are reminded that the outcomes of this model remain indicative at this time pending receipt of the detail of the October 2012 pupil count and the final DSG settlement in December. However, it is important that members recognise that the unit rates shown below will need to be used in the initial submission to the Education Funding Agency due on 31st October.
- 16.4 These proposals are before the operation of a number of other formula changes that will be described later in this report as follows;
- Topslice of ISB for Pupil Number Growth
 - Additional Formula Factor for Reception Uplift
 - Additional funding arising from the DfE requirement for LAs to move to maximum delegation of the Schools Budget
 - Additional delegation of SEN budget
- 16.5 In Summary, the unit rates of funding that arise from consultation and change to October Pupil Numbers are as follows:

FUNDING RATES OCTOBER 2011 PUPIL COUNT	OCTOBER 2011 PUPIL NUMBERS		
	PRIMARY	SECONDARY	
	KS1 & 2	KS3	KS4
BASIC PER PUPIL ENTITLEMENT			
- From AWPU	£2,287.59	£2,959.84	£3,700.98
- From Other Factors	£188.82	431.19	£325.60
- From Mainstreamed Grants	£293.67	£472.86	£472.86
- Rent	£3.77	£0.00	£0.00
- Safeguarded Salaries	£3.88	£0.00	£0.00
- Joint Use (subject to EFA application)	£0.00	£0.00	£30.23
Subtotal Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£2,777.74	£3,863.90	£4,529.66
Deprivation – IDACI Flat Rate	£208.30	£241.96	
Deprivation – Free School Meals Ever 6			
- from FSM	£264.24	£236.48	
- from Mainstreamed Grants	£123.38	£99.84	
Subtotal FSM Ever 6 Funding	£387.62	£336.33	
SEN (EYFSP < 78) / KS2 Underachievement at Level 4 in both English and Maths			
- From Old Attainment Factors	£1,265.80	£2,449.14	
- From Mainstreamed Grants	£311.75	£1,102.40	

Subtotal SEN	£1,577.55	£3,551.55
EAL from Mainstreamed Grants	£321.08	£974.73
LUMP SUM (all sectors)		
- from old Lump Sum Factors	£72,416	
- from Mainstreamed Grant	£43,659	
Subtotal Lump Sum	£116,075	
RATES	ACTUALS	

16.6 In total the funding allocated through the new formula is shown in the following table

	PRIMARY £000		SECONDARY £000		TOTAL £000	
BPPE	£27,202	74.7%	£32,040	84.5%	£59,242	79.7%
Deprivation	£960	2.6%	£888	2.3%	£1,848	2.5%
SEN	£2,389	6.6%	£2,551	6.7%	£4,940	6.6%
Lump Sum	£5,223	14.3%	£1,509	4.0%	£6,732	9.1%
Rates	£384	1.1%	£723	1.9%	£1,107	1.5%
EAL	£254	0.7%	£213	0.6%	£467	0.6%
TOTAL	£36,412	100%	£37,924	100%	£74,336	100%

16.7 This information is presented on a school by school basis at Annex B. It shows:

- the funding actually allocated to schools in 2012/13
- the impact of the proposals before the operation of the Minimum Funding Guarantee and topslice of gaining schools or additional funding expected to be received as a result of national increases in the Pupil Premium.

16.8 The following table summarises the overall impact of these formula changes by phase.

	Gaining Schools	Losing Schools	Average Gain	Average Loss	Max Gain	Max Loss	Max %age Gain	Max %age Loss
Primary	24	21	42,569	-36,971	116,754	-99,700	14.7%	16.9%
Middle	3	1	57,474	-72,052	59,524	-72,052	3.6%	-6.7%
Upper	1	1	77,286	-	77,286	-171,422	2.2%	-3.2%
Secondary	4	3	31,392	-	59,922	-184,444	1.0%	-4.7%
Total	32	26						

17 THE OPERATION OF THE MINIMUM FUNDING GUARANTEE (MFG) AND CAPPING BUDGETS OF SCHOOLS THAT GAIN.

17.1 The DfE perceive the operation of the Minimum Funding Guarantee as the key to ensuring that any financial turbulence arising from the changes detailed above are minimised on a school by school basis. They have agreed that the MFG will continue to operate for 2013/14 and 2014/15 at minus 1.5% per pupil. The overall arrangements for the calculation of MFG have been simplified.

17.2 In the past the MFG has been funded by a reduction in the AWPU rate received by each phase. The DfE has now proposed that MFG can be funded by topslicing the budgets of those schools that gain funding as a result of the changes. In total gaining schools receive increases in budget totalling £1.397m. An initial model of the operation of the MFG for 2013/14 suggests that it will cost £1.015m to implement to protect losing schools at minus 1.5% per pupil.

17.3 The DfE are proposing two methods of topslicing gaining schools as follows:

- a) An overall percentage cap on schools with gaining budgets
- b) A cap on budget increases per pupil

Option a) Implementing an overall percentage cap on schools with gaining budgets would mean limiting increases to 27.4%. The released 72.6% will be used to support the operation of the MFG. This option would mean that every gaining school will have its budget reduced in order to fund the MFG. This option is shown in summary below:

	Gaining Schools	Losing Schools	Average Gain after topslice	Average Loss after MFG	Max Gain	Max Loss	Max %age Gain	Max %age Loss
Primary	24	21	11,646	-6,956	31,943	-11,508	4.4%	-1.3%
Middle	3	1	15,725	-13,800	16,285	-13,800	1.0%	-1.3%
Upper	1	1	21,145	-51,675	21,145	-51,675	0.8%	-1.4%
Secondary	4	3	8,589	-56,887	16,394	-83,703	0.4%	-1.4%
Total	32	26						

Option b) A cap on budget increases per pupil would limit increases to a maximum of plus 1.1% per pupil. This option means that schools with increases less than 1.1% per pupil will receive the full increase. Schools with increases of 1.1% and above will have those gains capped at 1.1%. This option is shown in summary below:

	Gaining Schools	Losing Schools	Average Gain after topslice	Average Loss after MFG	Max Gain	Max Loss	Max %age Gain	Max %age Loss
Primary	24	21	7,814	-6,956	14,652	-11,508	1.0%	-1.3%
Middle	3	1	17,737	-13,800	19,500	-13,800	1.0%	-1.3%
Upper	1	1	27,860	-51,675	27,860	-51,675	1.0%	-1.4%
Secondary	4	3	28,397	-56,887	47,945	-83,703	1.1%	-1.4%
Total	32	26						

A detailed model demonstrating the impact of both options is shown at **Annex C**.

17.4 Members of the Schools Forum should be aware that the percentages shown in the tables above are indicative and will be subject to:

- the operation of the final formula model
- decisions that have yet to be taken as part of the Schools Block budget setting process
- the final DSG settlement using October 2012 pupil numbers
- the final DSG outturn position for 2012/13.

17.5 The consultation with schools only asked for an opinion on whether gaining schools should have their budgets topsliced in order to fund the Minimum Funding Guarantee. It did not offer the two options described above. The following table summarises the responses received.

Response	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Question 21 – Fund MFG by topslicing gaining schools			
Agree:	37	90%	81%
Disagree:	4	10%	19%
	41	100%	100%

17.6 Members of Schools Forum are now asked the following question:

Schools Forum Decision – (All Schools)

MFG and Topslice of gaining Schools – refer to Appendices 16 and 17 and sections 22 and 23 of the consultation document

Revised - Question 21
Which option do you think should be used to fund the operation of the Minimum Funding Guarantee?

a) An overall percentage cap on schools with gaining budgets
b) A cap on budget increases per pupil

18 Pupil Premium Increases 2013/14 and 2014

18.1 Members of the Formula Review Working Group and the Schools Funding Working Group were keen to see the impact of the changes proposed above in the light of national increases in the level of the Pupil Premium. The DfE have announced that the Pupil Premium has been set at £900 per eligible pupil. Eligibility is based on registered entitlement for Free School Meals at any point over the previous 6 years. The rate for service children is still to be announced.

18.2 **Annex D** of this report shows the impact of the formula changes detailed above along with the operation of the MFG (using a percentage topslice for all gaining schools) and the anticipated level of the Pupil Premium based upon a pro rata uplift of allocation made in 2012/13.

18.3 At the consultation meeting held at SportsAble on 19th September Head teachers were clear that Pupil Premium funding should not be seen as part of the mainstream schools budget. Rather it should be allocated and used specifically to improve the outcomes associated with pupils from deprived backgrounds. On 20th September OFSTED released a report into how schools are using the Pupil Premium funding to raise the achievement of disadvantaged pupils. They were clear that generally schools were not adequately targeting this funding and significantly improving the achievement of the targeted cohort of pupils.

FURTHER FORMULA PROPOSALS

19 Question 4 and 5: Pupil Number Growth

Pupil Growth Fund – refer to Appendix 8 and section 10 of the consultation document

Question 4

Do you agree to topslice the Primary Basic per Pupil Entitlement in order to fund Primary schools that are required to expand by the Local Authority?

Question 5

Which method would you prefer the Local Authority to use to allocate funds for Pupil Growth?

Option A - The existing method; a lump Sum of £33,431 calculated using an average teacher and teaching assistant salary, amended to allocate funding to schools that are required to grow by 1 form of entry?

Option B - An allocation of 7/12ths of the current Basic per Pupil Entitlement for each additional place?

19.1 The following table summarises the responses received to each question:

Question 4 - Pupil Growth	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	30	88%	89%
Disagree:	4	12%	11%
	34	100%	100%
Question 5 - Pupil Growth Method			
Option A – Lump Sum	17	49%	51%
Option B – 7/12ths BPPE	18	51%	49%
	35	100%	100%

19.2 Whilst schools agreed in principle to topslice the DSG in order to fund those schools that need to expand during the Financial Year, there was no clarity on the methodology for allocating the funding.

19.3 Of the 5 schools that receive this funding in 2012/13 and the 3 that will be in receipt of the funding next year, all agreed that there should be a topslice but there was a 50:50 split between Lump Sum and 7/12ths BPPE options

19.4 Written responses to the questions made the following points:

- Topsliced funding for growth should be restricted to the relevant phase requiring funding
- It is unfair to expect schools with no room to expand to continually fund those that have
- Greater transparency on how schools are selected for expansion
- Greater clarity on what level of expansion would trigger additional funding

19.5 Schools felt that the consultation generated some confusion in how it described proposed changes to the existing allocation methodology. Further work has been done to clarify how a school would qualify for pupil growth funding and is shown below:

- Schools will be funded for part year growth in pupil numbers where the increase in pupils is part of a planned increase agreed with or requested by the LA.
- Any agreement to take on extra pupils in September would normally be agreed well in advance of the start of the academic year, as part of the admissions planning process (Jan to March).
- Schools admitting above their standard number unilaterally without LA agreement would not normally be eligible for funding.
- Funding allocated through the growth fund would normally be linked to the need to respond to local shortages in places.
- Agreed increases in pupils would normally be limited to the entry year group, not spread across different year groups.
- Funding would continue for as long as there is a need, which could be up to 6 years in a primary or just one year if the increase in pupils is to accommodate a one-off 'bulge' class.
- Funding will not be allocated if the expansion is for less than 10 pupils

19.6 In the final guidance on the operation of the formula for 2013/14 the DfE set out some guidance on how the LA should manage any funding topsliced from the ISB to fund Pupil Growth as follows:

- Funding for significant pupil growth can be topsliced from delegated budgets and retained centrally before the formula is calculated.
- The growth fund can be used only for the purposes of supporting growth in pre-16 pupil numbers to meet basic need
- The fund must be used on the same basis for the benefit of both maintained schools and recoument Academies
- Any funds remaining at the end of the financial year must be added to the following year's DSG and reallocated to maintained schools and Academies through the local formula;
- Local authorities will be required to produce criteria on which any growth funding is to be allocated. These should provide a transparent and consistent basis (with differences permitted between phases) for the allocation of all growth funding. The criteria should both set out the circumstances in which payment could be made and provide a basis for calculating the sum to be paid.
- Local authorities will need to propose the criteria to the Schools Forum and gain its agreement before growth funding is allocated. The local authority will also need to consult the Forum on the total sum to be topsliced from each phase and must regularly update it on the use of the funding.

19.7 With the agreement of the points outlined in paragraph 19.5 and 19.6 above Officers now propose that Schools Forum agree to change the methodology for allocating pupil growth funding to Option B (as shown above) and combine it with the eligibility criteria described in paragraph 19.5 above. This option requires the original topslice of £167,155 and a further topslice of £72,656 by way of a reduction to primary Basic Per pupil entitlement of £7.35. This option is shown by school at Annex E and is based on know expanding schools at the time the consultation was issued. The actual topslice required to fund pupil growth will need to take account of any other schools not shown in Annex E but which are required to expand in September 2013 and which fit the criteria outlined at paragraph 19.5.

19.8 The current proposal requires phase specific allocations of funding for pupil number growth i.e. Primary phase ISB funds Primary pupil number growth. Despite the comments received as part of the written consultation Officers are keen to open a discussion with Schools Forum about funding Pupil number growth from across both Primary and Secondary phases. Whilst this would reduce the burden of funding on an individual phase at any one time it would also mean that there may be occasions where one phase supports growth in another.

19.9 Members of Schools Forum are now asked the following questions

Schools Forum Decision – Schools by Primary and Secondary Phase

Question 4 and 5: Funding Pupil Number Growth

Do you agree that funding for pupil number growth should be restricted to those schools that qualify according to the points outlined in paragraph 19.5 above? In particular do you agree to set a minimum threshold before funding can be allocated?

Do you agree to change the allocation methodology for funding for Pupil Number Growth from a lump sum allocation to an allocation of 7/12th of the Basic Per Pupil entitlement for each qualifying additional place?

Do you fund Pupil Number Growth in 2013/14 by a topslice of the relevant phases Individual Schools Budget? For 2013/14 this will equate to approximately £240k from the Primary ISB (£167k from 2012/13 funding and a further reduction of BPPE by £7.35 per primary pupil).

Schools Forum Members are also asked to consider whether funding for Pupil Number Growth should be topsliced from both Primary and Secondary phases regardless of whether funding will be allocated back to that phase.

20 Question 20: Reception Pupils

Reception Pupils – refer to paragraph 20 of the consultation document

Question 20

Do you agree that the funded reception number should be uplifted by any increase between the October and January reception numbers from the previous year?

20.1 The following table summarises the responses received to these questions:

Question 20 - Reception Uplift Funding	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	29	94%	94%
Disagree:	2	6%	6%
	31	100%	100%

All of the respondents to this question had reception classes.

20.2 The DfE has recognised that the move of the pupil count from January to October raises particular issues for reception funding. This is because parents have a right to defer admission to reception and not take up the place in September. Thus a school could have to keep open a place while receiving no funding because the pupil was not on roll in October.

20.3 The DfE's solution to this issue is to allow authorities to adjust the October pupil count for reception pupils to add any increase in reception pupil numbers that occurred between the January and October pupil counts of the previous year. 2013-2014 budget shares will be funded on the basis of the October 2012 census. Thus the additional reception adjustment would relate to any increase in reception pupil numbers between the October 2011 and the

January 2012 censuses. As a result a school which has reception pupils, who have deferred admission until January, would receive the funding for them, albeit on a lagged basis.

- 20.4 As well as addressing the deferrals issue this reception adjustment assists those schools that are not full in October and build up their numbers through the year. Such schools will lose funding from the move to basing budget shares on the October count. Authorities have discretion over whether to make this adjustment to reception pupil numbers. RBWM has consulted schools on making this Reception uplift adjustment.
- 20.5 The DfE has issued a set of data for increases in Reception pupil numbers between October 2010 and January 2011 to enable LAs to model the impact of this factor. This data shows an increase in RBWM reception pupil numbers of 824. This is a large increase because it reflects the movement in pupil numbers before the authority implemented a single point of Primary admission.
- 20.6 The actual increase in Reception Pupil Numbers between October 2011 and January 2012 was only 86 FTE. For those schools that had an increase in Reception Pupil numbers the average increase was only 3.2FTE, the largest increase in reception pupil numbers was 10 FTE. For those schools that saw a reduction in reception class pupil numbers, there would be no corresponding deduction of funding.
- 20.7 At the time of writing this report it is not clear whether there will be additional funding through the Dedicated Schools Grant for Reception Uplift. The following model has been based upon the existing total funding available. Officers have modelled the impact of a Reception Uplift as follows:

Total Primary ISB Funding	A	£36,412,879
October 2011 Pupil Numbers	B	9,793
Current Primary Funding per pupil	$C = A/B$	£3,718
Increase in Reception Pupil Numbers Oct 11-Jan 12	D	86
Total Cost of Reception Uplift	$E = C \times D$	£320,142
BPPE reduction required to fund reception uplift	$F = E/B$	£32.69

- 20.8 Annex F shows the impact of this change on a school by school basis. Members of the forum will note that the schools with 1 or 2 more reception pupils do not stand to gain significantly from this proposal because of the offsetting loss from reducing the BPPE. Also Members will note that this proposal would result in Middle schools and other Primary schools without reception classes losing funding to support this factor.

Schools Forum Decision – Primary Schools Only

Question 20: Reception Uplift

Do you agree that the RBWM formula should include a factor that funds schools with reception classes for an increase in pupil numbers between October and January as described in section 20 of this report?

Do you agree to fund each additional reception class pupil at a rate of £3,718 as shown in the calculation at paragraph 20.8 of this report?

Do you agree to reduce the Basic Per Pupil Entitlement to fund the implementation of the Reception Uplift Factor (provisionally) by £32.69 per primary pupil?

NEW DELEGATION FROM CENTRALLY RETAINED SCHOOLS BLOCK BUDGETS.

21 QUESTION 22: Additional Central Schools Block Budget Delegation

Additional Delegation – refer to Appendix 19 and paragraph 24 of the consultation document

Question 22

Do you agree that the funding for services now requiring delegation to schools should be allocated using the following DfE prescribed factors?

Description	Factor
Contingency	
- Newly Qualified Teachers	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
- Contingency Panel Allocations	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
Behaviour Support Team	IDACI (Flat Rate)
School Kitchen R&M	Basic per Pupil Entitlement (Pri Only)
Museum and Library (Residual Budget)	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
Licenses and Subscriptions	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
Maternity Cover / Trade Union Duties	Lump Sum

21.1 In order to give head teachers greater choice over how to spend their budgets the DfE has proposed a principle of Maximum Delegation of Schools Block Budgets with effect from 1st April 2013. LAs are now required to delegate certain budgets within the schools block that were previously held centrally. This policy has been discussed with the various working groups and the Schools Forum who have agreed the budgets and the proposed factors for delegation. These are shown in the following table;

Description	£	Factor
Contingency		
- Newly Qualified Teachers	£90,000	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
- Schools Causing Concern	£80,000	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
- Contingency Panel Allocations	£15,000	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
Behaviour Support Team	£136,85 3	IDACI (Flat Rate)
School Kitchen R&M	£21,700	Basic per Pupil Entitlement (Pri Only)
Museum and Library (Residual Budget)	£9,740	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
Licenses and Subscriptions	£197,40 0	Basic per Pupil Entitlement
Maternity Cover / Trade Union Duties	£265,53 0	Lump Sum
TOTAL	£816,22 5	

21.2 It is anticipated that this additional delegation will result in the following increases in Pupil rates and Lump Sum based on October 2011 pupil numbers. These rates would be an addition to the rates shown in the table at paragraph 16.5 of this paper:

	Primary	KS3	KS4
Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£24.57	£22.36	£22.36
IDACI (Equal Banding)	£34.00	£28.66	
Lump Sum	£4,578		

21.3 The following table summarises the responses received to these questions:

Question 22 – Additional Central Delegation	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	33	85%	83%
Disagree:	6	15%	17%
	39	100%	100%

21.4 The additional funding made available to each school as a result of this proposed delegation is shown at **Annex G**

Schools Forum Decision – All Schools

Question 20: Additional Delegation of Centrally Held Budgets

Do you agree to the delegation of the budgets as shown in the table at paragraph 21.1 above by way of the following increase to rates in the Schools Formula?

	Primary	KS3	KS4
Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£24.57	£22.36	£22.36
IDACI (Equal Banding)	£34.00	£28.66	
Lump Sum	£4,578		

PROPOSALS TO DE-DELEGATE / POOL FUNDING

21.5 The new funding arrangements are based on the principle of maximum delegation of schools block budgets. Under these arrangements LAs will be required from April 2013 to delegate certain budgets within the schools block that were previously held centrally. Question 22 of the consultation and section 21 of this report set out proposals for the way in which these budgets would be delegated.

21.6 The Government recognises, however, that where there is evidence that economies of scale can be achieved or there is an opportunity to pool risk across a larger number of schools, then maintained schools could choose to return (or 'de-delegate') funding to the LA in order for the LA to provide specific services centrally. It should be emphasised that this option is not open to Academies. If an Academy wished to benefit from this arrangement then it would be on a traded basis and they will be charged the full cost of the services concerned.

21.7 RBWM's proposal in the consultation was to seek to de-delegate the services in the following table in order to achieve economies of scale and the minimisation of risk. The total amount of pooled funding shown in the table will be subject to change dependent upon the number of Academies that RBWM has in each year. Further detail on each of the services is given in **Annex H:**

Service	De-Delegation Method	Amount of pooled Funding Primary	Amount of Pooled Funding Secondary	Amount per pupil / School Primary	Amount per pupil / School Secondary
Contingency for exceptional unforeseen costs	Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£29,580	£59,440	£3.00 per pupil	£3.00 per pupil
Behaviour Support Team	IDACI	£76,433	£59,047	£34 per deprived pupil in IDACI Band 1-6)	£28 per deprived pupil in IDACI Band 1-6)
Licenses and Subscriptions	Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£109,682	£86,755	£11.20 per pupil	£11.20 per pupil
Maternity Cover / Trade Union Duties	Lump Sum	£207,000	£59,800	£4,600 per school	£4,600 per school
Safeguarded Salaries	Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£9,793	£7,746	£1 per pupil	£1 per pupil
TOTAL POOLED FUNDING		£432,488	£272,788		

- 21.8 Question 23 and 24 of the consultation asked schools for their views on the de-delegation of funding to provide the above services centrally. Unfortunately, the question did not seek a response about each individual service (rather it sought a single response on the basket of services described above). Officers have been following up this anomaly since the closure of the consultation and a more formal presentation of the outcomes of the response will be tabled at the meeting.
- 21.9 Services may only be provided centrally with the agreement of Maintained schools members of the Forum (by phase). Maintained schools members (by phase) are therefore asked to vote on the de-delegation of each of the above services

Schools Forum Decision – Maintained schools by phase

Question 23 - De-delegation of funding for services to be provided centrally

Do you agree that funding for each of the services detailed in paragraph 21.7 above should be returned to the centre so that the LA can provide these services centrally?

Question 24 - De-delegation of funding for services to be provided centrally

Do you agree with the amounts, methodology and rates for de-delegating funding for each of these services shown at paragraph 21.7?

NEW AND FURTHER DELEGATION FROM HIGH NEEDS BLOCK FOR SEN

22 QUESTION 25: Additional Delegated funding for SEN

High Incidence / Low Need SEN – refer to Appendix 20 and section 28 of the consultation document

Question 25

Do you agree that RBWM should increase the amount of funding delegated for high incidence / low need SEN to equate to the DfE's strong recommendation that schools meet the first £6,000 of these costs (as described in paragraph 28.4 of the consultation document)?

Question 26

Do you agree that the Local Authority should develop criteria for allocating further funding for SEN to those schools that are not adequately funded from their existing SEN delegated budget?

22.1 The following table summarises the responses received to these questions:

Question 25 Additional Delegation of SEN Funding	School Count	%age of School Count	%age of Pupil Count
Agree:	37	97%	95%
Disagree:	1	3%	5%
	38	100%	100%
Question 26 Development of criteria for allocation of further SEN funding			
Agree:	39	100%	100%
Disagree:	0	0%	0%
	39	100%	100%

22.2 In order to equate the delegated funding for SEN with the DfE's strong recommendation of setting a £6,000 national delegated SEN funding threshold. A further £450 of funding currently allocated for statemented pupils requiring above 15 hours of support needs to be transferred to the DfE prescribed delegated SEN funding factor. In total this will equate to a further £149,121 being delegated to schools. The consultation Appendix 20 showed the impact of this additional delegation on a school by school basis.

22.3 Rebasing the rates shown in the consultation using October 2011 pupil numbers has the following effect

Phase	October Pupil Count x DfE dataset of EYFSP / KS2 Sats	Funding	SEN rate per pupil on EYFSP<78 points / KS2 underachieve L4 Eng Maths
Primary	1,515	£73,276	£48.38
Secondary	718	£75,845	£105.60

Development of Criteria for the allocation of Further SEN funding.

22.4 The DfE recognises that in some instances the proxy indicators of SEN may not adequately fund a school with a large intake of pupils with SEN. This may happen for a number of reasons:

- A primary school that works hard with its pre-school providers to reduce the number of pupils admitted with an Early Years Foundation Stage profile of less than 78 may not receive adequate funding to reflect the needs of its SEN population.
- Schools that have a reputation for securing good provision for SEN pupils may also not be adequately funded through the DfE prescribed SEN factor.

22.5 In instances such as these, the DfE has agreed that Local Authorities can develop, in consultation with the Schools Forum, an arrangement whereby additional funds can be allocated to schools which have a clear shortfall in funding in their Notional SEN budget. These arrangements must be transparent, fair and equitable. Work is still ongoing with the High Needs Review Working group into how such criteria can be developed. Further information will be issued to schools later in the year on these criteria.

Schools Forum Recommendation – All Schools

Question 25: Additional Delegated Funding for SEN

That funding for SEN is increased to reflect the strong DfE recommendation that school provide the first £6,000 of support to pupils with SEN. That funding is delegated to schools by increasing the rates for SEN shown in paragraph 16.5 of this report as follows:

Phase	Resulting SEN rates
Primary	£48.38
Secondary	£105.60

Question 26: Development of Criteria for Further SEN Funding

That Schools Forum receive a report later in the year outlining proposals, budgets and criteria for allocating further funding to schools whose cohort of SEN pupils can be shown to cost in excess of their Notional SEN delegated budget.

23 FINAL PUPIL COUNT, RATES AND TOTAL FUNDING

- 23.1 If all of the proposals are implemented as outlined in this report then it is anticipated that the final pupil count, unit rates and total funding will be as shown in the following table. Subject to responses and further discussion with Schools Forum, and subject to final decisions by the Strategic Director of Children's Services, and Head of Finance in consultation with the Lead Members for Children's Services and Finance, the figures shown in this table will form the basis of RBWM's pro-forma to the EFA by 31 October 2012.
- 23.2 As previously mentioned in this paper, final rates and allocations are likely to change with the October 2012 pupil count, RBWM's 2013-14 DSG settlement, and the 2012-13 Schools Block Budget estimated outturn. A final pro forma will be submitted to the EFA in January reflecting these update rates. We will consult with the Schools Forum in December and January prior to submitting the finalised pro-forma.

	Count	Final Consultation Rates	Final Consultation Totals	%ages	BPPE Ratio
Basic Per Pupil Entitlement					
- Primary	9,793	2,762.27	27,050,866		1.00
- Key Stage 3	4,577	3,886.26	17,787,406		1.41
- Key Stage 4	3,169	4,552.02	14,425,360		1.65
Subtotal BPPE			59,263,632	78.5%	
Deprivation					
- Primary IDACI	2,248	242.30	544,704		
- Secondary IDACI	2,109	270.62	570,690		
- Primary FSM	1,269	387.62	491,965		
- Secondary FSM	1,124	336.33	377,875		
Subtotal Deprivation			1,985,233	2.6%	
SEN					
- Primary SEN	1,515	1,625.93	2,462,595		
- Secondary SEN	718	3,657.15	2,626,623		
Subtotal SEN			5,089,218	6.7%	
Lump Sum	58	120,652.89	6,997,867	9.3%	
Rates	Actual		1,107,100	1.5%	
EAL					
- Primary EAL	791	321.08	253,859		
- Secondary EAL	218	974.73	212,545		
Subtotal EAL			466,404	0.6%	
Pupil Growth Funding (Topslice and BPPE Reduction)	148	7/12ths BPPE	239,134	0.3%	
Reception Uplift	86	£3718.26	319,770	0.4%	
TOTAL			75,468,358	100%	

ANNEX A

SCHOOLS RESPONDING TO THE CONSULTATION

Alexander First
Altwood CE Secondary
Alwyn Infant & Nursery
Boyne Hill CE Infants & Nursery
Braywood CE First
Burchetts Green CE Infant
Cheapside CE Primary
Churchmead
Cookham Dean CE Primary
Cookham Rise Primary
Cox Green
Datchet St Marys CE Primary
Dedworth Green First
Desborough
Ellington Primary
Eton Wick CE First
Furze Platt Infant
Furze Platt Junior
Furze Platt Senior
Hilltop First
Holy Trinity CE Primary (Sunningdale)
Holyport CE Primary
Homer First
Kings Court First
Larchfield Primary & Nursery
Newlands Girls
Oakfield First
Oldfield Primary
South Ascot Village Primary
St Edmund Catholic Primary, Maidenhead
St Edwards Catholic First, Windsor
St Edwards Royal Free Ecumenical Middle, Windsor
St Francis Catholic Primary, South Ascot
St Lukes CE Primary
St Marys Catholic Primary, Maidenhead
St Michaels CE Primary, Sunninghill
St Peters CE Middle
The Royal (Crown Aided)
Waltham St Lawrence Primary
Wessex Primary
Wraysbury Primary

ANNEX C - FINAL MODEL OCTOBER 2011 PUPIL NUMBERS INCLUDING MFG CALCULATION

	FORMULA REVIEW FUNDING (12/13 budget incl mfg less post 16 less High Needs, less de- legated)	Option A) - %age topslice of all gaining Schools		Option B) - %age Topslice per pupil for gaining Schools	
		2013/14 Draft Budget Including MFG and %Age Topslice from All gaining Schools	Variance from 2012/13 Final Budget	2013/14 Draft Budget including MFG and %age topslice PER PUPIL	Variation from 2012/13
Primary					
Alexander First	452,711	447,769	-4,942	447,769	-4,942
All Saints CE Junior	759,114	771,377	12,263	766,016	6,902
Alwyn Infant & Nursery	1,069,225	1,062,421	-6,804	1,062,421	-6,804
Bisham CE Primary	518,530	512,579	-5,951	512,579	-5,951
Boyne Hill CE Infants & Nursery	721,603	717,196	-4,407	717,196	-4,407
Braywood CE First	543,691	537,357	-6,334	537,357	-6,334
Burchetts Green CE Infant	342,213	338,870	-3,343	338,870	-3,343
Cheapside CE Primary	459,435	454,299	-5,136	454,299	-5,136
Clewer Green CE	736,262	739,659	3,397	743,030	6,768
Cookham Dean CE Primary	602,619	606,481	3,862	607,929	5,310
Cookham Rise Primary	728,982	734,675	5,693	735,569	6,587
Courthouse Junior	1,239,839	1,257,801	17,962	1,251,938	12,099
Datchet St Marys CE Academy	849,023	838,068	-10,955	838,068	-10,955
Dedworth Green First	703,320	694,659	-8,661	694,659	-8,661
Ellington Primary	897,443	885,935	-11,508	885,935	-11,508
Eton Porny CE First	556,481	549,966	-6,515	549,966	-6,515
Eton Wick CE First	691,572	683,072	-8,500	683,072	-8,500
Furze Platt Infant	881,444	887,084	5,640	889,704	8,260
Furze Platt Junior	955,341	987,036	31,695	964,362	9,021
Hilltop First	789,900	794,578	4,678	797,168	7,268
Holy Trinity CE Primary (Cookham)	720,689	726,767	6,078	727,194	6,505
Holy Trinity CE Primary (Sunningdale)	742,849	752,382	9,533	749,687	6,838
Holyport CE Primary	1,052,091	1,068,463	16,372	1,062,306	10,215
Homer First	770,154	780,643	10,489	777,198	7,044
Kings Court First	762,257	766,297	4,040	769,204	6,947
Knowl Hill CE Primary	392,487	388,395	-4,092	388,395	-4,092
Larchfield Primary & Nursery	771,342	761,688	-9,654	761,688	-9,654
Lowbrook Academy	847,494	848,372	878	850,702	3,208
Oakfield First	833,920	823,326	-10,594	823,326	-10,594
Oldfield Primary	722,407	753,989	31,582	728,917	6,510
South Ascot Village Primary School	766,031	756,465	-9,566	756,465	-9,566
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary, Maidenhead	1,098,081	1,130,024	31,943	1,108,786	10,705
St Edwards Catholic First, Windsor	756,117	761,063	4,946	763,101	6,984
St Francis Catholic Primary, South Ascot	691,463	708,185	16,722	697,735	6,272
St Lukes CE Primary	963,930	971,741	7,811	972,994	9,064
St Marys Catholic Primary, Maidenhead	1,025,169	1,040,551	15,382	1,035,085	9,916
St Michaels CE Primary, Sunninghill	707,281	718,423	11,142	713,720	6,439
The Queen Anne Royal Free CE Controlled First	530,243	531,651	1,408	534,637	4,394
The Royal (Crown Aided)	428,594	423,924	-4,670	423,924	-4,670
Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First	515,818	509,849	-5,969	509,849	-5,969
Waltham St Lawrence Primary	504,693	498,948	-5,745	498,948	-5,745
Wessex Primary	1,477,775	1,490,854	13,079	1,492,427	14,652
White Waltham CE	682,986	676,226	-6,760	676,226	-6,760
Woodlands Park Primary	523,632	517,673	-5,959	517,673	-5,959
Wraysbury Primary	1,009,694	1,022,614	12,920	1,019,313	9,619
Total	33,795,945	33,929,394	133,449	33,837,408	41,463
MIDDLE SCHOOLS					
Dedworth Middle	1,907,384	1,923,669	16,285	1,926,643	19,259
St Edwards Royal Free Ecumenical Middle, Windsor	1,443,666	1,458,824	15,158	1,458,117	14,451
St Peters CE Middle	1,039,172	1,025,372	-13,800	1,025,372	-13,800
Trevelyan Middle	1,942,836	1,958,567	15,731	1,962,336	19,500
Total	6,333,058	6,366,432	33,374	6,372,469	39,411
UPPER SCHOOLS					
The Windsor Boys	3,696,685	3,645,010	-51,675	3,645,010	-51,675
Windsor Girls	2,727,624	2,748,769	21,145	2,755,483	27,860
Total	6,424,309	6,393,779	-30,530	6,400,494	-23,815
OTHER SECONDARY					
Altwood CE Secondary	3,470,328	3,477,237	6,909	3,495,582	25,253
Charters	5,796,035	5,712,332	-83,703	5,712,332	-83,703
Churchmead	3,017,966	2,974,572	-43,394	2,974,572	-43,394
Cox Green	3,556,144	3,563,512	7,368	3,583,074	26,930
Desborough	3,114,503	3,070,939	-43,564	3,070,939	-43,564
Furze Platt Senior	4,513,606	4,530,000	16,394	4,561,551	47,945
Newlands Girls	4,314,355	4,318,038	3,683	4,327,816	13,461
Total	27,782,938	27,646,631	-136,307	27,725,866	-57,071
PRIMARY TOTAL	33,795,945	33,929,394	133,449	33,837,408	41,463
SECONDARY TOTAL	40,540,305	40,406,842	-133,463	40,498,829	-41,476
TOTAL	74,336,250	74,336,236	-14	74,336,237	-13

ANNEX D - FINAL MODEL INCLUDING MFG AND TOPSLICE (%AGE ALL SCHOOLS) AND SHOWING ADDITIONAL PUPIL PREMIUM FUNDING

	Schools Budget for Redistribution	Pupil Premium 2012-13 (£600 per FSM Ever 6 Pupil)	Total 2012/13 Schools Funding	Draft 2013/14 NEW FORMULA, including Early Years and MFG and Topslice	Projected Pupil Premium 2013-14 (£900 per FSM Ever 6 Pupil)	TOTAL DRAFT 2013/14 SCHOOLS BUDGET	VARIATION INCLUDING PUPIL PREMIUM AND MFG AND TOPSLICE
Primary							
Alexander First	452,711	9,000	461,711	447,769	13,500	461,269	-442
All Saints CE Junior	759,114	19,800	778,914	771,377	29,700	801,077	22,163
Alwyn Infant & Nursery	1,069,225	21,600	1,090,825	1,062,421	32,400	1,094,821	3,996
Bisham CE Primary	518,530	9,000	527,530	512,579	13,500	526,079	-1,451
Boyne Hill CE Infants & Nursery	721,603	13,200	734,803	717,196	19,800	736,996	2,193
Braywood CE First	543,691	4,200	547,891	537,357	6,300	543,657	-4,234
Burchetts Green CE Infant	342,213	1,200	343,413	338,870	1,800	340,670	-2,743
Cheapside CE Primary	459,435	2,400	461,835	454,299	3,600	457,899	-3,936
Clewer Green CE	736,262	14,400	750,662	739,659	21,600	761,259	10,597
Cookham Dean CE Primary	602,619	3,000	605,619	606,481	4,500	610,981	5,362
Cookham Rise Primary	728,982	18,600	747,582	734,675	27,900	762,575	14,993
Courthouse Junior	1,239,839	44,400	1,284,239	1,257,801	66,600	1,324,401	40,162
Datchet St Marys CE Academy	849,023	19,200	868,223	838,068	28,800	866,868	-1,355
Dedworth Green First	703,320	40,800	744,120	694,659	61,200	755,859	11,739
Ellington Primary	897,443	31,200	928,643	885,935	46,800	932,735	4,092
Eton Porny CE First	556,481	12,000	568,481	549,966	18,000	567,966	-515
Eton Wick CE First	691,572	14,400	705,972	683,072	21,600	704,672	-1,300
Furze Platt Infant	881,444	7,800	889,244	887,084	11,700	898,784	9,540
Furze Platt Junior	955,341	13,800	969,141	987,036	20,700	1,007,736	38,595
Hilltop First	789,900	28,800	818,700	794,578	43,200	837,778	19,078
Holy Trinity CE Primary (Cookham)	720,689	6,000	726,689	726,767	9,000	735,767	9,078
Holy Trinity CE Primary (Sunningdale)	742,849	7,200	750,049	752,382	10,800	763,182	13,133
Holyport CE Primary	1,052,091	9,000	1,061,091	1,068,463	13,500	1,081,963	20,872
Homer First	770,154	9,600	779,754	780,643	14,400	795,043	15,289
Kings Court First	762,257	15,600	777,857	766,297	23,400	789,697	11,840
Knowl Hill CE Primary	392,487	9,000	401,487	388,395	13,500	401,895	408
Larchfield Primary & Nursery	771,342	34,200	805,542	761,688	51,300	812,988	7,446
Lowbrook Academy	847,494	9,000	856,494	848,372	13,500	861,872	5,378
Oakfield First	833,920	21,000	854,920	823,326	31,500	854,826	-94
Oldfield Primary	722,407	3,600	726,007	753,989	5,400	759,389	33,382
South Ascot Village Primary School	766,031	15,600	781,631	756,465	23,400	779,865	-1,766
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary, Maidenhead	1,098,081	13,200	1,111,281	1,130,024	19,800	1,149,824	38,543
St Edwards Catholic First, Windsor	756,117	6,600	762,717	761,063	9,900	770,963	8,246
St Francis Catholic Primary, South Ascot	691,463	8,400	699,863	708,185	12,600	720,785	20,922
St Lukes CE Primary	963,930	43,800	1,007,730	971,741	65,700	1,037,441	29,711
St Marys Catholic Primary, Maidenhead	1,025,169	16,200	1,041,369	1,040,551	24,300	1,064,851	23,482
St Michaels CE Primary, Sunninghill	707,281	15,000	722,281	718,423	22,500	740,923	18,642
The Queen Anne Royal Free CE Controlled First	530,243	6,000	536,243	531,651	9,000	540,651	4,408
The Royal (Crown Aided)	428,594	4,800	433,394	423,924	7,200	431,124	-2,270
Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First	515,818	4,800	520,618	509,849	7,200	517,049	-3,569
Waltham St Lawrence Primary	504,693	3,000	507,693	498,948	4,500	503,448	-4,245
Wessex Primary	1,477,775	34,800	1,512,575	1,490,854	52,200	1,543,054	30,479
White Waltham CE	682,986	2,400	685,386	676,226	3,600	679,826	-5,560
Woodlands Park Primary	523,632	27,000	550,632	517,673	40,500	558,173	7,541
Wraysbury Primary	1,009,694	18,000	1,027,694	1,022,614	27,000	1,049,614	21,920
Total	33,795,945	672,600	34,468,545	33,929,394	1,008,900	34,938,294	469,749
MIDDLE SCHOOLS							
Dedworth Middle	1,907,384	71,400	1,978,784	1,923,669	107,100	2,030,769	51,985
St Edwards Royal Free Ecumenical Middle, Windsor	1,443,666	21,000	1,464,666	1,458,824	31,500	1,490,324	25,658
St Peters CE Middle	1,039,172	15,000	1,054,172	1,025,372	22,500	1,047,872	-6,300
Trevelyan Middle	1,942,836	47,400	1,990,236	1,958,567	71,100	2,029,667	39,431
Total	6,333,058	154,800	6,487,858	6,366,432	232,200	6,598,632	110,774
UPPER SCHOOLS							
The Windsor Boys	3,696,685	40,800	3,737,485	3,645,010	61,200	3,706,210	-31,275
Windsor Girls	2,727,624	46,800	2,774,424	2,748,769	70,200	2,818,969	44,545
Total	6,424,309	87,600	6,511,909	6,393,779	131,400	6,525,179	13,270
OTHER SECONDARY							
Altwood CE Secondary	3,470,328	63,600	3,533,928	3,477,237	95,400	3,572,637	38,709
Charters	5,796,035	65,400	5,861,435	5,712,332	98,100	5,810,432	-51,003
Churchmead	3,017,966	110,400	3,128,366	2,974,572	165,600	3,140,172	11,806
Cox Green	3,556,144	73,200	3,629,344	3,563,512	109,800	3,673,312	43,968
Desborough	3,114,503	53,400	3,167,903	3,070,939	80,100	3,151,039	-16,864
Furze Platt Senior	4,513,606	85,800	4,599,406	4,530,000	128,700	4,658,700	59,294
Newlands Girls	4,314,355	56,400	4,370,755	4,318,038	84,600	4,402,638	31,883
Total	27,782,938	508,200	28,291,138	27,646,631	762,300	28,408,931	117,793
PRIMARY TOTAL	33,795,945	672,600	34,468,545	33,929,394	1,008,900	34,938,294	469,749
SECONDARY TOTAL	40,540,305	750,600	41,290,905	40,406,842	1,125,900	41,532,742	241,837
TOTAL	74,336,250	1,423,200	75,759,450	74,336,236	2,134,800	76,471,036	711,586

ANNEX E - FUNDING FOR REQUIRED PUPIL NUMBER GROWTH

PUPIL GROWTH BPPE TOPSLICE OCT 11 PUPIL NUMBERS

	Pupil Growth Funding Allocated in 2012/13	OCTOBER 2011 PUPIL NUMBERS	BPPE Reduction £7.35 per primary pupil	Provisionally agreed place number increases	7/12th of BPPE allocation	Total Variance
PRIMARY SCHOOLS						
Alexander First	0	82	-603		0	-603
All Saints CE Junior	0	206	-1,515		0	-1,515
Alwyn Infant & Nursery	0	298	-2,192		0	-2,192
Bisham CE Primary	0	126	-927		0	-927
Boyne Hill CE Infants & Nursery	0	172	-1,265		0	-1,265
Braywood CE First	0	126	-927		0	-927
Burchetts Green CE Infant	0	53	-390		0	-390
Cheapside CE Primary	0	109	-802		0	-802
Clewer Green CE	0	203	-1,493	15.0	24,241	22,748
Cookham Dean CE Primary	0	173	-1,272		0	-1,272
Cookham Rise Primary	0	205	-1,508		0	-1,508
Courthouse Junior	0	381	-2,802		0	-2,802
Datchet St Marys CE Academy	0	213	-1,566		0	-1,566
Dedworth Green First	0	159	-1,169		0	-1,169
Ellington Primary	0	186	-1,368		0	-1,368
Eton Porny CE First	0	130	-956		0	-956
Eton Wick CE First	0	159	-1,169		0	-1,169
Furze Platt Infant	0	243	-1,787		0	-1,787
Furze Platt Junior	0	302	-2,221		0	-2,221
Hilltop First	0	200	-1,471		0	-1,471
Holy Trinity CE Primary (Cookham)	0	216	-1,589		0	-1,589
Holy Trinity CE Primary (Sunningdale)	0	211	-1,552		0	-1,552
Holyport CE Primary	33,431	331	-2,434	15.0	24,241	-11,624
Homer First	0	211	-1,552		0	-1,552
Kings Court First	0	211	-1,552		0	-1,552
Knowl Hill CE Primary	0	66	-485		0	-485
Larchfield Primary & Nursery	0	175	-1,287		0	-1,287
Lowbrook Academy	0	242	-1,780		0	-1,780
Oakfield First	33,431	228	-1,677	15.0	24,241	-10,867
Oldfield Primary	33,431	216	-1,589	30.0	48,482	13,462
South Ascot Village Primary School	0	195	-1,434		0	-1,434
St Edmund Champion Catholic Primary, N	33,431	345	-2,537	15.0	24,241	-11,727
St Edwards Catholic First, Windsor	0	218	-1,603	15.0	24,241	22,638
St Francis Catholic Primary, South Ascot	0	216	-1,589		0	-1,589
St Lukes CE Primary	0	244	-1,794		0	-1,794
St Marys Catholic Primary, Maidenhead	0	296	-2,177		0	-2,177
St Michaels CE Primary, Sunninghill	0	203	-1,493		0	-1,493
The Queen Anne Royal Free CE Contro	0	131	-963		0	-963
The Royal (Crown Aided)	0	88	-647		0	-647
Trinity St Stephen CE Aided First	0	121	-890		0	-890
Waltham St Lawrence Primary	0	122	-897		0	-897
Wessex Primary	0	415	-3,052		0	-3,052
White Waltham CE	0	192	-1,412		0	-1,412
Woodlands Park Primary	0	105	-772		0	-772
Wraysbury Primary	33,431	297	-2,184	15.0	24,241	-11,374
Subtotal Primary	167,155	9,021	-66,344	120	193,927	-39,572
MIDDLE SCHOOLS						
Dedworth Middle	0	237	-1,743	28.0	45,250	43,507
St Edwards Royal Free Ecumenical Mid	0	190	-1,397		0	-1,397
St Peters CE Middle	0	118	-868		0	-868
Trevelyan Middle	0	227	-1,669		0	-1,669
Subtotal Middle	0	772	-5,678	28.0	45,250	39,572
TOTAL	167,155	9,793	-72,022	148.0	239,176	-0

RECEPTION UPLIFT MODEL

TOTAL PRIMARY FUNDING	A	36,412,879
OCT 11 PUPIL NUMBERS	B	9,793
BPPE PER PUPIL	C = A/B	3,718.26
RECEPTION UPLIFT PUPIL NUMBERS	D	86
TOTAL COST OF RECEPTION UPLIFT	E = C X D	320,142
BPPE REQUIRED REDUCTION TO FUND RECEPTIC	G = E / F	32.69

SchoolName	OCT 11 PUPIL NUMBERS	FTE Reception	FTE Reception	Difference	ONLY FUND INCREASES	TOTAL RECEPTION UPLIFT ALLOCATION	BPPE REDUCTION	NET CHANGE
		October 2011	January 2012					
Alexander First School	82	18	19	1	1.0	3,718	-2,681	1,038
All Saints C.of E. Controlled	206	0	0	0		0	-6,734	-6,734
Alwyn Infant and Nursery School	298	103	103	0		0	-9,742	-9,742
Bisham School	126	17	17	0		0	-4,119	-4,119
Boyne Hill CE Infant School	172	57	59	2	1.8	6,693	-5,623	1,070
Braywood CE First School	126	28	30	2	2.0	7,437	-4,119	3,317
Burchetts Green CE Infant School	53	25	25	0		0	-1,733	-1,733
Cheapside CE Primary School	109	15	16	2	1.5	5,577	-3,563	2,014
Clewer Green CE Aided First School	203	37	42	5	5.0	18,591	-6,636	11,955
Cookham Dean CE Aided Primary	173	23	26	3	3.0	11,155	-5,656	5,499
Cookham Rise Primary School	205	30	30	0		0	-6,702	-6,702
Courthouse Junior School	381	0	0	0		0	-12,455	-12,455
Datchet St. Mary's School	213	28	29	1	1.0	3,718	-6,963	-3,245
Dedworth Green First School	159	29	29	0		0	-5,198	-5,198
Ellington Primary School	186	26	29	3	3.0	11,155	-6,081	5,074
Eton Porny C of E First School	130	29	30	1	1.0	3,718	-4,250	-532
Eton Wick C.E. First School	159	30	29	-1		0	-5,198	-5,198
Furze Platt Infant School	243	87	90	3	3.0	11,155	-7,944	3,211
Furze Platt Junior School	302	0	0	0		0	-9,873	-9,873
Hilltop First School	200	43	45	2	1.9	7,065	-6,538	527
Holy Trinity CE Primary School	216	32	32	0		0	-7,061	-7,061
Holy Trinity CE Primary School	211	26	31	5	5.0	18,591	-6,898	11,694
Holyport CE Primary School	331	60	60	0		0	-10,821	-10,821
Homer First School	211	39	43	4	4.0	14,873	-6,898	7,975
Kings Court First School	211	45	46	1	1.0	3,718	-6,898	-3,180
Knowl Hill CE Primary School	66	14	15	1	1.0	3,718	-2,158	1,561
Larchfield Primary School	175	28	27	-1		0	-5,721	-5,721
Lowbrook Academy	242	30	30	0		0	-7,911	-7,911
Oakfield First School	228	50	60	10	10.0	37,183	-7,454	29,729
Oldfield Primary School	216	30	30	0		0	-7,061	-7,061
South Ascot Village School	195	27	28	2	1.5	5,577	-6,375	-797
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary	345	60	60	0		0	-11,278	-11,278
St Edward's Catholic First School	218	45	46	1	1.0	3,718	-7,127	-3,408
St Francis Catholic Primary	216	30	29	-1		0	-7,061	-7,061
St Luke's CE Primary School	244	32	41	9	9.0	33,464	-7,977	25,488
St Mary's Catholic Primary School	296	45	45	0		0	-9,677	-9,677
St Michael's CE Primary School	203	23	30	8	7.5	27,887	-6,636	21,251
The Queen Anne RF CE First	131	25	28	3	3.0	11,155	-4,283	6,872
The Royal School	88	14	19	5	5.0	18,591	-2,877	15,714
Trinity St Stephen CE First	121	23	27	5	4.8	17,922	-3,956	13,966
Waltham St. Lawrence CP School	122	17	19	2	1.6	5,949	-3,988	1,961
Wessex Primary School	415	58	61	3	3.0	11,155	-13,567	-2,412
White Waltham CE School	192	29	30	1	1.0	3,718	-6,277	-2,558
Woodlands Park Primary School	105	19	17	-2		0	-3,433	-3,433
Wraysbury Primary School	297	49	52	3	3.5	12,940	-9,709	3,230
TOTAL	9,021	1,473	1,554	81	86	320,142	-294,904	25,237

MIDDLE SCHOOLS

Dedworth Middle	237						-7,748	-7,748
St Edwards Royal Free Ecumenical Middle, Windsor	190						-6,211	-6,211
St Peters CE Middle	118						-3,858	-3,858
Trevelyan Middle	227						-7,421	-7,421
Total	772						-25,237	-25,237

TOTAL PRIMARY	9,793	1,473	1,554	81	86	320,142	-320,142	0
---------------	-------	-------	-------	----	----	---------	----------	---

ANNEX G

ALLOCATING FUNDING FROM EXCEPTIONAL NEED TO SEN DELEGATED

SCHOOL	Funding for the next £450 of exceptional Need	January 2012 Primary pupil numbers	January 2012 Secondary pupil numbers	LowAtt_%_PRI_78	LowAtt_%_SEC	Primary LPA Pupil Numbers Less than 78	Secondary LPA Pupil Numbers	UNIT RATE CALC Including Lump Sum	Primary below 78	Secondary	Primary below 78	Variance from 2012/13 Allocated Funding for these factors																																																																																																						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Primary</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pupils with LPA</td> <td>1,515</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Funding</td> <td>73,276</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>LPA Pupil Unit Rate</td> <td>48.38</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secondary</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pupils with LPA</td> <td></td> <td>718</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Funding</td> <td></td> <td>75,845</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>LPA Pupil Unit Rate</td> <td></td> <td>105.60</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>											Primary													Pupils with LPA	1,515												Total Funding	73,276												LPA Pupil Unit Rate	48.38												Secondary													Pupils with LPA		718											Total Funding		75,845											LPA Pupil Unit Rate		105.60										
Primary																																																																																																																		
Pupils with LPA	1,515																																																																																																																	
Total Funding	73,276																																																																																																																	
LPA Pupil Unit Rate	48.38																																																																																																																	
Secondary																																																																																																																		
Pupils with LPA		718																																																																																																																
Total Funding		75,845																																																																																																																
LPA Pupil Unit Rate		105.60																																																																																																																
Alexander First	450	82	0	51.1%		42					2,026	1,576																																																																																																						
All Saints CE Junior	4,500	206	0	24.5%		51					2,445	-2,055																																																																																																						
Alwyn Infant and Nusery	900	298	0	9.6%		29					1,388	488																																																																																																						
Bisham CE Primary	450	126	0	8.6%		11					526	76																																																																																																						
Boyne Hill Infant	2,250	172	0	26.4%		45					2,194	-56																																																																																																						
Braywood CE First	900	126	0	8.4%		11					514	-386																																																																																																						
Burchetts Green CE Infant	-	53	0	18.5%		10					475	475																																																																																																						
Cheapside CE Primary	1,350	109	0	4.2%		5					220	-1,130																																																																																																						
Clewer Green First	1,350	203	0	13.6%		28					1,332	-18																																																																																																						
Cookham Dean CE Primary	450	173	0	5.6%		10					465	15																																																																																																						
Cookham Rise Primary	1,350	205	0	10.2%		21					1,014	-336																																																																																																						
Courthouse Junior	4,050	381	0	9.9%		38					1,823	-2,227																																																																																																						
Datchet St Mary's CE Primary	1,350	213	0	26.4%		56					2,718	1,368																																																																																																						
Dedworth Green First	1,800	159	0	18.4%		29					1,413	-387																																																																																																						
Ellington Primary	3,150	186	0	31.9%		59					2,875	-275																																																																																																						
Eton Porny First	900	130	0	3.4%		4					213	-687																																																																																																						
Eton Wick CE First	900	159	0	7.0%		11					538	-362																																																																																																						
Furze Platt Infant	1,350	243	0	20.0%		49					2,351	1,001																																																																																																						
Furze Platt Junior	2,250	302	0	15.5%		47					2,264	14																																																																																																						
Hilltop First	-	200	0	25.0%		50					2,419	2,419																																																																																																						
Holy Trinity, Cookham	900	216	0	3.2%		7					330	-570																																																																																																						
Holy Trinity, Sunningdale	450	211	0	20.2%		43					2,065	1,615																																																																																																						
Holyport CE Primary	2,700	331	0	11.0%		36					1,755	-945																																																																																																						
Homer First	1,350	211	0	22.0%		46					2,241	891																																																																																																						
Kings Court First	1,350	211	0	13.4%		28					1,366	16																																																																																																						
Knowl Hill CE Primary	900	66	0	18.8%		12					599	-301																																																																																																						
Larchfield Primary	900	175	0	15.1%		26					1,276	376																																																																																																						
Lowbrook Academy	3,150	242	0	9.1%		22					1,064	-2,086																																																																																																						
Oakfield First	2,250	228	0	6.6%		15					729	-1,521																																																																																																						
Oldfield Primary	1,800	216	0	27.7%		60					2,890	1,090																																																																																																						
South Ascot Village Primary	900	195	0	14.1%		27					1,329	429																																																																																																						
St Edmund Campion	1,726	345	0	20.7%		72					3,462	1,736																																																																																																						
St Edward's Catholic First	1,800	218	0	8.5%		19					899	-901																																																																																																						
St Francis Catholic Primary, S	450	216	0	7.9%		17					822	372																																																																																																						
St Luke's CE Primary	1,350	244	0	25.3%		62					2,984	1,634																																																																																																						
St Mary's Primary	1,350	296	0	17.9%		53					2,561	1,211																																																																																																						
St Michael's CE Primary	450	203	0	16.9%		34					1,657	1,207																																																																																																						
The Queen Anne Royal Free	450	131	0	16.7%		22					1,056	606																																																																																																						
The Royal First	450	88	0	13.5%		12					573	123																																																																																																						
Trinity St Stephen CE Aided F	-	121	0	14.5%		18					847	847																																																																																																						
Waltham St Lawrence	1,350	122	0	5.2%		6					305	-1,045																																																																																																						
Wessex Primary	2,250	415	0	25.9%		108					5,209	2,959																																																																																																						
White Waltham Primary	2,700	192	0	3.8%		7					348	-2,352																																																																																																						
Woodlands Park Primary	450	105	0	23.1%		24					1,172	722																																																																																																						
Wraysbury Primary	1,800	297	0	15.5%		46					2,228	428																																																																																																						
Total	62,926	9,021	-			1,426					68,980	6,054																																																																																																						
MIDDLE SCHOOLS																																																																																																																		
Dedworth Middle	6,750	237	228	16.7%	16.7%	40	38				5,950	-800																																																																																																						
St Edward's Middle	7,650	190	192	7.6%	7.6%	14	15				2,242	-5,408																																																																																																						
St Peter's CE Middle	3,600	118	116	8.9%	8.9%	11	10				1,603	-1,997																																																																																																						
Trevelyan	2,700	227	262	10.6%	10.6%	24	28				4,108	1,408																																																																																																						
Total	20,700	772	796			89	91				13,904	-6,796																																																																																																						
UPPER SCHOOLS																																																																																																																		
The Windsor Boys'	10,505	0	681		10.9%		75				7,869	-2,636																																																																																																						
Windsor Girls'	1,800	0	542		11.7%		63				6,688	4,888																																																																																																						
Total	12,305	-	1,227				138				14,558	2,253																																																																																																						
OTHER SECONDARY																																																																																																																		
Altwood	4,950	0	713		9.0%		64				6,804	1,854																																																																																																						
Charters	15,455	0	1,227		7.4%		90				9,556	-5,899																																																																																																						
Churchmead	1,276	0	554		13.2%		73				7,709	6,432																																																																																																						
Cox Green	9,679	0	751		9.4%		71				7,490	-2,189																																																																																																						
Desborough	5,776	0	582		8.3%		48				5,112	-664																																																																																																						
Furze Platt Senior	8,853	0	979		8.1%		79				8,323	-529																																																																																																						
Newlands Girls'	7,200	0	919		6.9%		63				6,685	-515																																																																																																						
Total	53,189	-	5,764				489				51,679	1,511																																																																																																						
TOTAL	149,121	9,793	7,787			1,515	718				149,121	0																																																																																																						
							2,249																																																																																																											

ANNEX H

Proposals for De-delegation to provide services centrally

Service	Description of service and functions	Benefits of a centrally managed service	Amount of pooled Funding Primary	Amount of Pooled Funding Secondary	De-Delegation Method	Amount per pupil / School Primary	Amount per pupil / School Secondary
Contingency for exceptional unforeseen costs	Funding to cover future unexpected and unavoidable costs in schools, which it would be unreasonable to expect governing bodies to meet from their delegated budget, and where the amount required and the school affected were unknown at the time of setting the budget. Allocations might include: This budget would not include funding for schools in financial difficulties.	Main benefits include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ability to correct errors in allocations from the Funding Formula Safety net to allocate additional funding for unexpected and unavoidable costs. 	£29,580	£59,440	Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£3.00 per pupil	£3.00 per pupil
Behaviour Support	Funding to cover central Behaviour Support Team providing support to young people, as well as helping schools manage behaviour more effectively: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Providing 1:1 support for child Child assessment Advice and training to families, schools and support staff Development of strategies to manage difficult behaviour Support to schools in tackling bullying Support to schools in promoting the social and emotional skills that underpin effective learning 	Main benefits include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordination of training activities Dissemination of effective practice across schools The ability to manage resources on a needs basis and to respond to the individual needs of a school at short notice. Ability to flexibly support staff in the workplace. Expertise in understanding of the social and emotional aspects of challenging behaviour Pool of suitably qualified and experienced staff available on a needs basis. Responsive and reactive service 	£76,716	£59,440	IDACI	£34 per deprived pupil in IDACI Band 1-6)	£28 per deprived pupil in IDACI Band 1-6)
Licenses and Subscriptions	Funding to cover the cost of providing support to the software required to perform most of the administration tasks in schools. It relates to the payment to CAPITA (software supplier) for software maintenance support. Additionally, it	Main benefits include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More cost effective by paying one invoice on behalf of all schools rather than schools invoiced 	£110,433	£87,214	Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£11.20 per pupil	£11.20 per pupil

ANNEX H

	<p>covers other licenses and subscriptions bought on behalf of schools such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • annual C.L.E.A.P.S.S licence fees (an advisory service providing support in science and technology for a consortium of local authorities and their schools) • copyright licenses • recording licenses • performing rights licenses <p>It does not cover the costs of corporate health charges which are recharged to schools.</p>	<p>individually at potentially higher cost.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experienced staff to ensure the correct and most economical pricing • Specialist expertise. 					
Maternity Cover / Trade Union Duties	<p>Insurance type funding for eligible staff costs when there is an absence from school for official reasons. The main areas are: maternity, trade union duties, magistrates and jury service. Schools need to ensure sufficient staff are in place to meet their responsibilities. The incidence of these costs tends to be uneven, by year and by school.</p>	<p>Main benefits include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schools pick up the costs for cover only, LA funds cost of substantive post. • Schools avoid double costs of substantive post and supply cover • Insurance scheme shares risk, eliminates unpredictability, and helps financial management. 	£207,000	£59,800	Lump Sum	£4,600 per school	£4,600 per school
Safeguarded Salaries	<p>Funding to cover protected salaries for identified school staff.</p>	<p>Main benefits include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost of staff shared across all schools. 	£9,860	£7,787	Basic Per Pupil Entitlement	£1 per pupil	£1 per pupil
TOTAL POOLED FUNDING			£467,009	£232,441			